Exhibit 21

```
Page 1
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                        EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN
 3
 4
 5
          ANDREW L. COLBORN,
 6
                     Plaintiff,
 7
                                         Case No.: 19-CV-0484
              vs.
          NETFLIX, INC., et al.,
 8
                     Defendants. )
 9
10
11
12
                            CONFIDENTIAL
13
14
                 VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF LISA NISHIMURA
15
                           Los Angeles, California
                            Friday, April 29, 2022
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
          REPORTED REMOTELY BY:
24
25
          NATALIE ALCOTT-BERNAL, CSR No. 13105
```

		Page 16
1	Q	Were you involved in specific types of films or
2	what	at that company?
3	А	The company released a relatively wide array of
4	independ	ent film, everything from documentary to
5	independ	ent film across multiple genres.
6	Q	And, so, did you do editing, for example?
7	А	I've never been an editor.
8	Q	Were you involved in production?
9	А	Occasionally.
10	Q	In what roles did you play with respect to
11	producti	on?
12	А	Providing creative feedback.
13	Q	How long did you hold the role of general
14	manager?	
15	А	Approximately three to four years.
16	Q	And then what was the next job that oh.
17	А	I just want to say that's my best recollection.
18	Q	Sure. I'm sorry.
19		What was your next position after that role?
20	А	I left the company after that role.
21	Q	And did you join another company?
22	А	I did.
23	Q	And what company was that?
24	А	Netflix.
25	Q	And what position were you hired into at

Page 49 Do you know whether anyone else on the Netflix 1 2 creative team reviewed the assembled footage that they 3 had? 4 Α I don't know with any certainty. Do you recall reviewing any assembled footage at 5 Q any time -- or strike that. 6 7 Do you recall reviewing any raw footage at any time while you were working on the Making a Murderer 8 9 series? I'd like to clarify how you define "raw 10 Α footage." 11 12 So, you see here when they refer to "assembled 13 footage, " you know, that is what the filmmakers do; 14 right? So, they had been working on the project for 15 many, many years, and I imagine, at that point, had 16 hundreds, if not thousands of hours of footage. 17 So, we would review material, but material as provided by the filmmakers in an assembled form. So, 18 19 edited by them. 20 Thank you. I appreciate that clarification. Q 21 When you refer to material that you reviewed, what type of material did you review for the series 22 during the course of production other than actual cuts? 23 It was primarily cuts. 24 Α 25 The second -- the next paragraph in that page Q

	Page 56
1	meaning there was, like, a living quarter and a place to
2	sit outside, and you could eat, and there was an office.
3	It was sort of a combined creative space where they did
4	have some editing stations as well.
5	So, one could go there to meet and have a cup of
6	tea and just talk. One could go and meet, and they could
7	screen a episode for you. It was sort of a multi-use
8	creative space.
9	Q Do you recall whether any or strike that.
10	Do you know whether anyone from the Netflix
11	creative team participated in any of the edits made at
12	the Synthesis offices?
13	A No. All of the editing was controlled purely by
14	the filmmakers.
15	Q Did you do you know whether anyone's ever
16	present from the Netflix team during that process?
17	A I don't have a direct recollection. But I
18	you know, at a certain point in the production there were
19	multiple editors working at any time. And I wouldn't
20	I would imagine there might be an occasion when I was
21	there having a meeting and there were editors working in
22	another room potentially.
23	But to be clear, no one on my team is a trained
24	editor. And the software and the actual mechanism of

editing is not one that I myself or anyone on my creative

25

	Page 57
1	team is trained in.
2	Q Have you ever attempted editing?
3	A No, I have not.
4	Q Directing your attention to the next page in
5	Exhibit 5, CA sorry. Strike that.
6	Directing your attention to the next page in
7	Exhibit 5, NFXCOL 1909.
8	A I have it.
9	Q That document consists of a an e-mail message
10	from Laura Ricciardi to you and to Adam Del Deo, dated
11	November 14, 2013; is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And that e-mail states, "attached for review
14	and approval the series outline for MAKING A MURDERER."
15	Do you see that?
16	A I do.
17	Q And that was sent by or it's signed by Laura
18	and Moira; correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q The and when I say "signed," I meant that is
21	the signature block, but it's typed; correct?
22	A Correct. Yes.
23	Q So, following that document in Exhibit 5, there
24	are 20 pages Bates-stamped NFXCOL 1910 through
25	NFXCOL 1929.

Page 95 1 understand. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't quite understand. 3 believe that this is probably more around intent that 4 makes more sense to discuss with the filmmakers. BY MS. BARKER: 5 I'll restate the question. 6 7 If Mr. Colborn alleges that -- among other things in this case, that physical representations of him 8 9 were changed in response to various questions during his testimony at the Avery trial, if that's the case, what 10 would be the purpose for changes that are strictly 11 12 relating to the physical appearance of Mr. Colborn rather 13 than changes that, for example, reduce the time devoted 14 to a particular moment in the trial? 15 MS. WALKER: Same objections. 16 You can answer. 17 THE WITNESS: I don't personally have any knowledge of changes that are made, and, so, it's hard 18 19 for me to speculate on motive for change. BY MS. BARKER: 20 2.1 Right. But I'm -- you -- strike that. 0 22 You give and gave a considerable amount of guidance to the filmmakers during the making of Making a 23 Murderer; correct? 24 25 Α Sure. We were partners.

	Page 106
1	parties.
2	Do you see that?
3	A I do.
4	Q And would you say that there were, in fact,
5	regular consultations between Netflix and Synthesis with
6	respect to the progress of Season 1 of the series?
7	A I would. We were in pretty regular contact.
8	Q And there will be some discussion of some
9	documents that also refer to calls. So I just want to
L O	let you know that in advance. But my question,
11	specifically, is whether there was a weekly or a,
12	approximately, weekly status call between Netflix and
13	Synthesis, which then became Chrome, during the
L 4	production of Making a Murderer?
15	A We were in pretty regular contact. I would say
16	the form of that contact would vary. So, certainly, some
L 7	calls. Sometimes it was done through e-mail. So, I
18	don't know that we were every single week on a phone
19	call, but we were in contact.
20	Q The next sentence states Netflix also
21	"Netflix shall receive copies of selected footage as
22	reasonably requested by Netflix."
23	Do you see that?
24	A I do.
25	Q Do you have a recollection of any requests for

Page 107 selected footage that were made by anyone from Netflix? 1 You know, because -- and I think it states it in 2 3 the agreement -- the directors/the creators had been 4 working on the project so long, that the vast majority of any footage we were seeing were either in cut form or 5 sequenced or assembled in some way. So just to be clear 6 7 on how I define footage in this regard. Okay. So with that clarification, you may have Q seen footage? 9 We saw cuts, certainly, as described in the 10 Α 11 schedule. 12 0 Understood. 13 As you -- sorry. Strike that. Do you have a specific recollection of 14 15 requesting any footage that -- for comparison with the footage as assembled by the filmmakers? 16 17 Α No. Directing your attention to the next page 18 19 Bates-stamped NFXCOL 128. 20 Α Shall I read it? 21 I'm specifically directing -- yes. I'm specifically directing your attention to the first 22 sentence under the heading "Meaningful Consultation." 23 But you can feel free to read as much of it as you would 24 25 like.

Page 126 It looks like it's a paragraph return. 1 2 formatting issue under the section called "Cold Open." Again, I haven't done a side-by-side. But --3 4 0 Okay. -- I believe there's a possibility that that --5 Α the words are actually all the same and that a paragraph, 6 7 a carriage return, was entered in between those two. Okay. Yes. That's what I'm trying to figure Q out, is if there's --9 I don't know if there are substantive changes 10 Α inside the actual document itself. But that's -- at a 11 12 first glance, is what it appears to be. 13 Typically, when you would prepare notes for a Q 14 special episode and version and in a format, say, such as 15 this, would there be changes to those notes after they were forwarded to the team? 16 Not by intent. I mean, it's a Google Doc that 17 Α everyone has access to. So, you know, again, everyone's 18 a little bit different in the way they like to format 19 20 their notes, which you've probably noticed, looking 21 through material. But once we sent it -- you know, the 22 notes are a basis to start a discussion with the filmmakers. So that's why there's multiple versions. 23 So, normally, we just progress into the next round and 24 25 have a next round of notes and a new cut to discuss.

Page 173 about them that may have been provided to you, you either 1 don't know or don't recall; is that correct? 2. I don't know. 4 Then, directing your attention forward in the document to the page that is -- has page 55 of 56 in the 5 folio at the bottom. 6 7 Α Okay. I'm there. At the bottom of the page, above the page number 8 0 9 "12," that's printed on the page, there's a -- some bracketed highlighted text that starts with "Strang," 10 Do you see that? 11 12 Α I'm not -- I see "Strang." I'm just not sure 13 which line you're referring to. Right now I'm referring, for reference, to the 14 O 15 very last reference to "Strang" on that page. that is opposite of where "This call sounded like 16 17 hundreds of other..." Oh. At the very bottom? 18 Α 19 0 Yes. 2.0 Α Yes. I see the line. 21 Okay. And then above that there's bracketed 0 22 text that says, "sustained objection omitted." Do you see that? 23 I do. 24 Α 25 And then above that there's another paragraph Q

Page 176 them out loud. 1 2. Α Oh. To yourself. Sorry about that. Q 4 Α That's okay. Thanks. I've read the lines. 5 Thank you. 6 0 7 Another -- so representing to you, also, that Mr. Colborn's allegation in this document -- and specific 8 9 to this passage -- is that the lines that are in yellow highlight were edited out or removed from the 10 representation of that passage in Making a Murderer, 11 12 Episode 5. 13 And my question is: Do you agree that removing the yellow highlight lines from that passage would effect 14 15 the meaning of the testimony provided by Mr. Colborn? It's hard, looking at this out of context and 16 17 not recalling the scene specifically. But I believe that, again, just reading this for the first time, that 18 19 Colborn successfully makes his point saying, "I should 20 not have been and I was not looking at the license 21 plate." So I believe he made his point. 22 The removal of these other lines are more 23 succinct, but he's clear in his position. And speaking to the macro, you know, the jury found Steven Avery 24 25 quilty. So I think they must have heard this as well.

Page 180 CERTIFICATION OF COURT REPORTER 1 2 FEDERAL JURAT 3 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 4 Reporter of the State of California do hereby certify: 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before 6 7 me at the time and place herein set forth; that any 8 witness in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a verbatim 9 10 record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 11 12 direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate 13 transcription thereof. 14 That before completion of the deposition, a review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. 15 16 I further certify that I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of 18 any attorney of any of the parties. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed 2.0 my name. Dated: May 13, 2022 21 22 Natalie Glout Resoul 23 Natalie Alcott-Bernal, CSR 2.4 CSR No. 13105 25